Agriculture and Nutrition

Alemayehu Seyoum Taffesse IFPRI

Nobel Symposium in Economic Sciences MICRODEVELOPMENT RESEARCH IN THE LAST 20 YEARS: WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED? Stellenbosch, STIAS, 11-15 March 2024

Outline

- □ Nutrition-sensitive agriculture
- □ Women empowerment in agriculture (measurement)
- □ Footnote:
 - Psychosocial influences on technology adoption in agriculture;

Malnutrition

□ *Malnutrition* – stunting, wasting, overweight, and micronutrient deficiencies – a major problem



Source: WDI

Nutrition-sensitive Agriculture

[Ruel and Alderman (2013), Webb and Kennedy (2014), Herforth and Ballard (2016), Ruel, Quisumbing, and Balagamwala (2018), Sharma et al. (2020), ...]

D *Potential*: pathways via which agriculture can contribute to nutrition outcomes :

- improve availability of and access to nutrient-rich foods (production),
- increase purchasing power (income)
- food prices (supply and demand), and
- empowering women to improve their nutrition outcomes and those of their children (agency).
- □ Realization increasing food production through conventional agricultural interventions alone inadequate to significantly improve nutritional outcomes;
- □ Nutrition-sensitive agriculture (NSA) incorporate specific nutrition objectives and actions in the design and implementation of agriculture interventions. Include ((Ruel and Alderman (2013)):
 - biofortification,
 - homestead food production systems,
 - livestock transfer for nutritious foods.

Nutrition-sensitive Agriculture

D Evidence

- *weak impact* of NSA interventions on nutritional status, with the lowest impact on stunting and wasting.
 - studies did not focus adequately on the *underlying causes of undernutrition* beyond food access (intersectoral coordination)
 - most interventions did not have *implementation period long enough* to led to improvements in stunting;
 - o research/evaluation *design shortcomings* including inadequate power inadequate
- Better intervention and evaluation design and implementation to generate/detect impact.
- cover *sustainability*, *scale-up*, and *cost-effectiveness*.
- explore how evidence generated inform broader agriculture policy and investment decisions

Women Empowerment in Agriculture

U Women empowerment

- *intrinsic value* Sustainable Development Goal (SDG5) 'Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls')
- *instrumental value* –central to improving nutritional outcomes particularly for women and children – including through nutrition sensitive agriculture
- challenge: measurement "absence of a common quantitative metric for women's empowerment"
- Women Empowerment in Agriculture Index (WEAI)
 - introduced in 2011/12 (IFPRI, Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative (OPHI), and USAID's Feed the Future in February)
 - o validation, refinement, customization since 2011.

Women Empowerment in Agriculture Index

A-WEAI

Pro-WEAI

WEAI



Quisumbing, Agnes, Steven Cole, Marl'ene Elias, Simone Faas, Alessandra Gali'e, Hazel Malapit, Ruth Meinzen-Dick, Emily Myers, Greg Seymour, and Jennifer Twyman (2023) "*Measuring Women's Empowerment in Agriculture: Innovations and evidence*," *Global Food Security*, 38, 100707.

Footnote

- □ Limited adoption of improved agricultural technology in some parts of the world (paricularly SSA)
 - **'external circumstances'** and **'opportunities'** the key reasons discussed already.

Observation: opportunities – existent (exploit), new (create)

- **constraints associated with the** *manifested attributes* of decision makers
 - *psychosocial characteristics/issues:* sense of self, impatience, commitment, procrastination, aspirations, locus of control,

(Duflo et al. (2011), WDR (2015), Taffesse and Tadesse (2017), Tanguy et al (2023), ...);