

Esther Duflo









Proportion d'enfants en âge de fréquenter l'école primaire qui sont scolarisés, 2010



Source: Lee and Lee (2016) Note: The ratio between primary school students and the number of children in the primary school age group. The enrollment ratios account for the repetition of grades and are taking differences in school ages between countries into account.

Nombre d'enfants non scolarisés, Monde, 1998 à 2014





La plupart des enfants dans le monde étaient scolarisés avant la pandémie de COVID-19.







Average learning outcomes vs GDP per capita, 2015

The vertical axis shows average scores across standardized, psychometricallyrobust international and regional student achievement tests. To maximize coverage by country, tests have been harmonized and pooled across subjects (math, reading, science) and levels (primary and secondary education). The horizontal axis shows GDP per capita after adjusting for price differences between countries and across time.





Poor children learning has been documented everywhere (and has not much improved)



- 'What works' in a cost-effective way at scale in low- and middle-income countries.
- Based on systematic search of over 13,000 studies, resulting in over 400 studies selected
- "simple" outcomes: "learning adjusted years of schooling" (Angrist et al.)



Poor children learning has been documented everywhere (and has not much improved)

The GEEAP classifies interventions in different categories



2023 Geeap Smart Buys Report

Great Buys

- Supporting teachers with structured pedagogy
- Targeting teaching instruction by learning level, not grade [TARL]
- Providing information on the benefits, costs, and quality of education

Good Buys

- Providing parent-directed early childhood stimulation programs
- Providing **quality pre-primary** education
- Reducing travel times to schools
- Giving merit-based scholarships to disadvantaged children and youth
- Administering school-based mass deworming

2023 Geeap Smart Buys Report

What comes out of GEEAP

Promising but Limited Evidence

- Using software that allows personalized learning and adapts to the learning level of the child (where hardware is already in schools)
- Leveraging mobile phones to support learning
- Augmenting teaching teams with
 community-hired staff

- Involving communities in school
 management
- Targeting interventions towards girls
- ***** Safeguarding students from violence
- ***** Teaching **socio-emotional and life skills**
- Providing mass treatment for common health conditions including free eyeglasses, multi micronutrients, and preventative malaria treatment

2023 Geeap Smart Buys Report

Intervention categories in GEEAP report (ctd)

★ New addition in the report

Effective but Relatively Expensive

- Transferring cash (as a tool for improving learning)
- **Feeding** in Primary Schools

Bad Buys

- Investing in hardware like laptops, tablets and computers alone
- Providing additional inputs alone, when other issues are not addressed, including: textbooks, additional teachers to reduce class size, school buildings, grants, salary, libraries

2023 Geeap Smart Buys Report

Intervention categories in GEEAP report (ctd)



Reasonable sense of congruence between studies, and a story that ties them together « Tyranny of the curriculum » that are inadapted to heterogenous children present in school.

In principle we know what to do: go back to what kids need to learn. The issue is to get it done. The solutions that work at the primary (fundamental skill levels) leave little autonomy to teachers:

- TaRL
- scripted lessons



A common thread in primary school





Is completing secondary education tuition-free?

Gross enrolment ratio in secondary education, 2022 Number of children of any age group who are enrolled in lower secondary¹ and upper secondary² education expressed as a percentage of the total population of the official secondary school age. Our World in Data

Secondary school is the next frontier (and debate)





Duflo Dupas Kremer and (2023) | Duflo Dupas Spelke Walsh (2023)



Access and Learning



Muted labor market effects

Child mortlity declines

Child cognition improves

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Survived to	Survived to	Survived to	Survived to
	one yr	three yrs	one yr	three yrs
	(2023)	(2023)	(2023)	(2023)
Panel A: Children of Fem	uale GYS pa	rticipant		
Treatment	0.014*	0.009	0.013*	0.009
	(0.008)	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.009)
P-value	0.073	0.292	0.085	0.279
Comparison mean	0.969	0.972	0.969	0.972
N	1693	1377	1693	1377
Panel B: Children of Mal	•	•		
Treatment	0.008	-0.003	0.009	-0.003
	(0.009)	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.010)
P-value	0.364	0.755	0.324	0.782
Comparison mean	0.974	0.984	0.974	0.984
N	1002	759	1002	759
P-val male=fem	0.042	0.456	0.054	0.492
Linear Year of birth Control	1	✓		
Year of birth Fixed Effects			1	✓

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
	1.5 years	2.5 years	3.5 years	5 years	7 years			
Panel A: Children of Female GYS participant								
Treatment	-0.078	-0.027	0.038	0.247***	0.253**			
	(0.095)	(0.128)	(0.079)	(0.084)	(0.118)			
P-value	0.411	0.834	0.625	0.003	0.033			
Comparison mean	0.007	0.032	-0.026	0.017	0.056			
Ν	563	274	630	668	361			
Panel B: Children	of Male G	YS participa	ant					
Treatment	0.134	-0.218	-0.008	-0.215*	-0.112			
	(0.118)	(0.153)	(0.095)	(0.124)	(0.187)			
P-value	0.257	0.157	0.932	0.084	0.551			
Comparison mean	-0.012	-0.037	0.049	-0.041	-0.118			
Ν	342	208	345	300	174			
P-val male=fem	0.306	0.280	0.728	0.003	0.089			

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1



Next generation: Positive effect on children of female recipients

Table 23: % Youth who can do common calculation	าร,
by gender	

Task	All youth	Male	Female
Measuring length (easy)	85.9	89.2	83.1
Measuring length (hard)	39.7	47.9	32.5
Applying unitary method	50.2	58.7	42.7
Calculating time	38.6	43.6	34.3



Chart 9: % Youth who can do common calculations, by ASER arithmetic level







35 लीटर

ASER Beyond Basics (India)

Table 24: % Youth who can do common calculations,by current enrollment status

Task		Enrolled in undergraduate or other	Not enrolled
Measuring length (easy) 88.7	94.1	66.0
Measuring length (hard) 41.7	60.1	19.0
Applying unitary metho	d 52.7	66.4	28.1
Calculating time	40.5	54.4	20.6

Table 26: % Youth who can read Std II level text on the ASER reading assessment, by gender

	All youth	Male	Female
Can read Std II level text	76.6	76.5	76.8
Cannot read Std II level tex	t 23.4	23.6	23.2
Total	100	100	100

Table 27: % Youth who can read and understand written instructions, by gender

Al	l youth	Male	Female
Can read and understand at least 3 out of 4 instructions	53.5	57.5	49.9
Cannot read and understand even 3 out of 4 instructions	46.6	42.6	50.1
Total	100	100	100

Chart 10: % Youth who can read and understand written instructions, by ASER reading level





READING AND UNDERSTANDING WRITTEN INSTRUCTIONS

All youth were asked to read the instructions given on the

- •
- How many packets of O.R.S. should be added to 2 litres of water?
- Within how many hours should the prepared solution of O.R.S. • be consumed?
- How many litres of O.R.S. can be given to a 21-year old within a span of 24 hours?
- Based on the information given, can this packet of O.R.S. be • consumed in December 2018?

ASER Beyond Basics (India)

Table 28: % Youth who can read and understand written instructions, by current enrollment status

		Enrolled in undergraduate or other	Not enrolled
Can read and understand at least 3 out of 4 instructions	t 56.8	77.8	22.4
Cannot read and understand even 3 out of 4 instructions	43.2	22.2	77.6
Total	100	100	100





Performance in markets (real or simulated)

ASER test results

Making kids (and teachers) realize they know things appear to be important...

Market word problem

Vishal went to the market with 200 Rupees. He bought 450 grams of peas at 100 rupees a kilogram, and 200 grams of tomatoes at 90 rupees a kilogram. How much money does he have left?"

Timed (few minutes)





Schools do not seem to recognize or teach practical skills

- Ashraf et al (2023)
- FUNDEAC critical thinking program
- Train teachers to teach by getting students to ask questions.



(A) Primary Leaving Exam (PLE) Pass Rate



z(B) Distribution of Standardized PLE Score



Large gains are possible: Critical thinking

Turkey

France	
--------	--

ole 9: Treatment Effe	ct on Standardized	l Test Scores (Sample I
	Math Test Score	Turkish Test Score
Treatment	0.276^{***}	0.134^{**}
	(0.09)	(0.05)
Gender (Male= 1)	0.037	-0.124**
	(0.05)	(0.04)
Raven Score	0.368^{***}	0.230***
	(0.04)	(0.04)
Risk Tolerance	-0.039*	-0.014
	(0.02)	(0.01)
Turkish score (pre)	0.207^{***}	0.249^{***}
	(0.04)	(0.02)
Math score (pre)	0.193^{***}	0.263^{***}
	(0.04)	(0.03)
Class size	-0.009*	-0.006
	(0.00)	(0.00)
Control Mean	-0.04	0.01
Ν	1203	1206

Table 2: EJ Impacts on Summary Indices									
	Gr	ade 6	\mathbf{Gr}	ade 7		Grade 8		Grade 9	
	Obs.	Impact	Obs.	Impact	-	Obs.	Impact	Obs.	Impact
Return to effort			6,027	0.047***		5,496	0.048***	5,485	0.040***
				(0.008)			(0.007)		(0.007)
Student-rep. dilig.	5,506	-0.001	6,458	-0.015**		5,706	-0.003	5,497	-0.001
		(0.008)		(0.006)			(0.009)		(0.007)
Teacher-rep. char.	4,494	0.028	4,826	0.052***		4,596	0.042^{*}	4,503	0.019
		(0.022)		(0.020)			(0.023)		(0.023)
School-rep. behav.	22,074	-0.039***	22,449	0.008		22,445	0.007	22,305	0.040***
		(0.012)		(0.011)			(0.013)		(0.013)
GPA	20,783	0.025^{*}	21,443	0.036***		19,713	0.032**	19,330	0.067***
		(0.015)		(0.013)			(0.015)		(0.021)
Aspiration				•				5,497	0.035^{*}
				•					(0.021)
Observations	22,662		22,905			23,266		22,688	
Clusters	188		194			190		186	

The table presents the standardized impacts of the treatment from Grade 6 to Grade 9 on our summary indices. Indices are presented in rows. Columns *Obs* gives the number of observations, columns *Impact* the coefficients from the regressions of the outcomes on the treatment variable. Regressions are controlled for school and cohort fixed effects and standard errors, given below in parenthesis, are robust to heteroscedasticity and clustered at the school*cohort level. The sample is composed of students with non-missing gender and financial aid status. *10%, **5%, ***1% significance level

Alan et al

Algan et al

Grit: Results still mixed...



Perspective taking to reduce conflict

Gender social norms

Teaching social behavior

Phase 1: MPL (Elementary Schools) Phase 2: CTB (Elementary Schools) 84 FIGURE II: Performance Declines on Experimental Tests by Treatment Group 52 (A) Listening (B) Ravens Matrices (C) Math 2 8 sity 15 Der, å 8 ò -2 5 Number of Early Choices in MPL 0 2 Number of Early Allocations in CTB ---- Control ---- Control Treatment - Treatment Test loc Control Cognitive Practice Phase 3: Anonymous CTB (Elementary Schools) Phase 4: CTB (Middle Schools) 52 (D) Listening (E) Ravens Matrices (F) Math 8-12 È Dens De. 8 -2 -2 6 0 Number of Early Allocations in CTB 0 Number of Early Allocations in CTB ---- Control ---- Control Test loost Treatment Treatment Control Games Practice Math Practice Brown et al Alan et al

Patience

Cognitive endurance

Teaching patience and resilience

